

ARLINGTON, VA 22202

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Island States Patent and Trademark Office solomo COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.D. Ban 1693 Albandery, Vergan 2201-1409

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/980,494	93	/22/2002	Tor Johansson	F1991280/ AH	5645		
465	7590	06/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
YOUNG & '	THOMPS	BECKER,	BECKER, DREW E				

1768 DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Drew E B		1761	
	The MAILING DATE of this communic				ddress
Period fo					
THE - Exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC process of time may be available under the provisions of ISIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	ATION. 137 CFR 1.136(a). In no er	_	. ,	
- If Ni - Fash Any	e penied for reply specified above is less than thirty (20). D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- are to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months and reply received by the Office later than three months and set gratent term adjustment. See \$7 CFR 1.704(b).	tory period will apply and vill by statute, cause the ac-	will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	the making date of this of D (35 U.S.C. 6 133)	ty. communication
Status					
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 02 April 2004			
2a)	This action is FINAL 28) This action is	non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except	t for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the ap	plication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from co	ensideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[⊠]	Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction	and/or election re	quirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority d				
	2. Certified copies of the priority d				
	 Copies of the certified copies of 			ad in this National	Stage
	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action				
•	see the attached detailed Office action	ioi a iist oi the cert	med copies not receive	10.	
Attachmer	it(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)		6 Daniel - 6		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	0-948)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Oate		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other.	atent Application (PTI	O-152)
\$ Patent and 1 TOL-326 (F	rademani Office Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summa	ary	Part of Paper No./A	Mail Date 060

Application No.

09/980,494

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Applicant(s)

JOHANSSON, TOR

Art Unit

DETAILED ACTION

Flection/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to a casing, classified in class 428, subclass 34.8.
 - Claims 11-14, drawn to a method of making dry sausage, classified in class 426, subclass 516.
 - III. Claims 15-24, drawn to a method of using a casing, classified in class 426, subclass 135.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed in group I can be made by another and materially different process, for instance by stuffing the casing by hand.
 - 3. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to a method of making dry sausages in group II, and a method of using breathable polymers in group III.
 - Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions
 can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of group I as claimed can be used in another and materially different process of use, for instance as a casing for cooked sausages.

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II-III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 8am-5pm and every other Fri. 8am-4om.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Application/Control Number: 09/980,494 Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tol) free).

> Drew E Becker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761 6-4-09